G. R. S. 591 GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~191~~ 1891-2
(Courts)

File No. 5 of 1891-2

Serial Nos. 1-3

~~Papers Filed.~~

~~Month~~ March 92

SUBJECT.

Fees for conducting the case against munsiff Mr. Narayapathi Naidu and pleader Shamanna on behalf of Government

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Cs 4-91	Indexed B. Venkalāsubbiah Countersigned

CHIEF SECY.'S DEPT.

SUBMITTED TO THE DEWAN.

For Orders -

Papers sending with
Aff'd Govt Advocate's
No 67 & 16th May 92.

Subject Re fees for ap-
pearing before the
Chief Court on behalf
of the prosecution (Govt)
in the case of the
Mudliyar Mangapathi
Naidu

Mr Ramanaden Jun
appeared on behalf of
Govt under orders -
He had to appear on
3 days & he sends in
a bill for Rs 150 -

The question is Is he
entitled to any separate
fees under the
orders contained in Nos no 1127-30
of 22nd Jany last (Circ)

In one view he is
not entitled as for his salary
of Rs 200 he is "generally
"to appear before the Chief
"Court in all cases in wh
"Govt have to be represented

× Please see (3/6) in P

of "in that Court".

In another view these words & indeed the entire Proceedings may be held as applicable to Appearances in all Criminal Cases only - The Case of Ct Immidi Mangapathi Naidu was not a Criminal Case but a departmental inquiry into alleged irregularities -

At the same time the further words as well "as to advise the Govt when "called upon to do so in "all legal matters" would tend to show that although the orders arose out of a question relating to Criminal Cases only, the final settlement by the Govt of an Govt Advocate was meant to include all Cases (all legal matters) -

Mr Ramaswami for the reduced terms of his appt as Govt Advocate (Vide cl. iii para 5 of Govt Memo dt 2-2-[illegible]) is entitled to claim separate fees for any appearance on behalf of Govt in the Ch-Ct.

28/10/[illegible]

Mi
Draft
29/6

Dropped.
AK. 30/6.

× Please see (3/6) in file No 185 of 02.

9/789

1

(General Serial No. 30%)

OFFICE OF THE
9/6
23 APR. 92
MAN OF MYSORE

1892/92 177

From

The Asst. Gov. Advocate
in Mysore

SECTION 615
FILE No. 5 of 1891
SERIAL No. 1

To

Dated 23rd April /92

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No. 776/56
No. 5365

Submitting vch for Rs 100/- for appearance before the Chief Court to conduct the enquiry into the charges framed against Sowcar Pattichand and Hanamanal.

To the Dewan

R. Pillai submit with a draft should not the bill have been countersigned by the Ch Court 26/4

To counsel Memo.

Please find the Pro No 1127-30 dt 22/4/92 appointing Mr Nanjundiah Asst Govt Advocate, Mys

Lab

Lab write to Dft for

Offg 27/4/92

A
26-4-92

Bangalore
23rd April 1892

No. 56
Cr: Pro: Bill

From

The Assistant Govt Advocate
at Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to yours Letter No. 1585-6/1596, dated the 23rd March 1892 I have the honor to state that I appeared before the Chief Court on the 7th April 1892 on which date witnesses were examined and Statements of Mr. Munyapatte Naidu Munsiff and Shamannah Pleader were taken & also on the 21st idem on which date ~~the~~ arguments on both sides were heard & the case was concluded

2. I beg to enclose herein a bill for

3

my fees & request that the same
may be sanctioned

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt servt.
S Ramachandra Aiyer
Assistant Govt Advocate

1/789

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
16 MAY 92
1907
357

1892

Ref. & Pros: regarding post of Govt Advocate

SECTION B 15
FILE No. 2 of 1891
SER. No. 2

From The Assistant Govt Advocate in Mysore

Sir

I submitted to the Chief Secretary on 21st The Proposal that the appointment of Govt Advocate is submitted.

886
18/5/92

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 16th May 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 67

No

Sir,

In continuation of this Office Letter No. 56 of the 23rd ult. I have the honor to enclose herein a Supplemental Bill for Rupees 501. to my Justus appearance before the Chief Court in the matter of the enquiry against the Munsiff Mangalore the Nazir and Pleader

Submitted. Please see Clause iii of para 5 of Govt Pro: No 1127-30 dated the 22nd Janr. 1892 - The Asst Govt. Advocate is required, by virtue of his appointment "generally to appear before the Chief Court in all cases in which Govt have to be represented in that Court," without any claim for fees.

19/5/92

Sahib

Where is the memorandum

576

Sir
Submitted with reference
JR
7/6/92

Please Shawcross

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt
[illegible]
Assistant Govt Advocate

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From Proceedings

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Assistant Government Advocate.
" Comptroller to Mysore Govern^t.

SECTION 6 C

FILE No. 5 of 1891

SERIAL No. 3

Dated 30th June 1892.

Despatched 4th July 1892

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 5773-4
No. 536

Ordering that Mr. Ramaswamy Iyer, Assistant Govern^t Advocate, is not entitled to separate fees for any appearances on behalf of Government in the Chief Court

References to be marked.

✓

No 5773-4 / 536 / 30-6-92 / 4-7

92

Read,

Letter No. 56 d/ 23rd April 1892 from the Assistant Govt. Advocate, Submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 100/- for his having appeared before the Chief Court to con-duct the enquiry into the charges framed against late Munsiff Manapathy Rauder & Pleader Shamu-nah

Also letter No. 67 d/16 May 1892 from the same Submitting a supple-mental Bill for Rs 50/-

---

Order.

Mr. Ramasawmy Iyer by the express terms of his appointment as Assistant Government Advocate is not —

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
Nd.

entitled (Vide para 5 Clause iii of Govt Pro. & Camp No. 1127-30 of 22nd January 1892) to claim separate fees for any appearance on behalf of Government in the Chief Court

Draft.

Chief Secretary.

To

The Assistant Govt. Advocate returning the two bills.

Copy to Comptroller & Mysore Govts.

Ak.

Note copy sent to Registrar Chief Court under D.O. to another case

30/5

References to be marked.